



Limited area forecasting for wind energy scheduling

Rosgaard, Martin Haubjerg; Hahmann, Andrea N.; Madsen, Henrik; Giebel, Gregor; Sørensen, Poul Ejnar; Nielsen, Henrik Aalborg; Nielsen, Torben Skov

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Rosgaard, M. H., Hahmann, A. N., Madsen, H., Giebel, G., Sørensen, P. E., Nielsen, H. A., & Nielsen, T. S. (2015). *Limited area forecasting for wind energy scheduling*. Poster session presented at UCD Energy Institute & Electricity Research Centre Research Symposium 2015, Dublin, Ireland.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.




INTRODUCTION

For any energy system relying on wind power detailed knowledge of near-future wind fluctuations is essential for efficient utilisation in the power grid. To this end, accurate weather forecasts constitute vital input and this study concerns assessment of the value added by increasing the resolution of global weather forecasts using a specific configuration of a limited area weather forecast model (LAM).

Objective:


Quantify the extent to which LAM predictions can add value to day ahead scheduling of wind power for efficient Elspot market trading.



METHODOLOGY

The LAM employed is the open source Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model developed and maintained by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder CO, USA. Initialisation and lateral boundary conditions are derived from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) developed and maintained Global Forecast System (GFS); four daily global weather forecasts are freely available for download.

The forecast dataset consists of twice-daily (0000 and 1200 UTC) 48-hour WRF model forecasts, generated for the time period May 2012 to May 2013, for three wind farms offshore, on the coast and inland, respectively. The forecast reference is farm-averaged wind turbine nacelle anemometer measurements.



WRF grid values are tricube-weighted according to wind farm radius and GFS grid values are bilinearly interpolated to wind farm centre coordinates. Wind speed prediction accuracy is assessed in terms of standard scalar accuracy metrics, namely root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), and Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC), respectively, as well as in terms of ramp objects;

Critical Success Index = Hits / (Hits + False alarms + Misses)
(*a.k.a.* Threat Score)

though the ramp object evaluation results are not shown, only the results are mentioned. Likewise, the effect on performance of temporal smoothing is mentioned, yet not explicitly shown. Last, the WRF model source code is modified such that wind speed variability (standard deviation) is output; the potential of this quantity to assess the level of wind power point prediction uncertainty is explored.

CONCLUSIONS

WRF model performance in terms of scalar accuracy metrics is deteriorating with model resolution offshore and on the coast, while improvement relative to the GFS forecasts is achieved inland. The forecast examples illustrate how close-to-correct wind speed simulations subject to slight phase errors and/or temporal dilation are severely penalised in terms of PCC.

However, in terms of wind speed ramp objects a nearly consistent improvement in the Critical Success Index is observed across a range of different ramp widths (*not shown*). Finally, forecasted wind speed variability may serve as predictor for wind speed prediction uncertainty – and hence also wind power prediction uncertainty.


RESULTS

- Weather forecasts and wind farm measurements are postprocessed to hour-resolution
- Performance in terms of PCC is shown below (MAE and RMSE are sensitive to model bias[†])

Offshore

On the coast

Inland




- LAM improvement for inland site where the global model cannot resolve topography in sufficient detail
- Near-ocean LAM results are inferior to global model (GFS) results in terms of scalar accuracy metrics
- In order to understand why, individual wind speed forecasts are analysed for LAM (WRF) and the GFS

Offshore

Offshore

On the coast




- Small fluctuation phase offset severely penalises performance in terms of scalar accuracy metrics
- Temporal smoothing improves WRF performance in terms of scalar accuracy metrics (*not shown*)
- Prediction of wind speed ramp objects is more accurate at high WRF model resolution (*not shown*)

- GFS forecasts are @ three-hour resolution, WRF resolution may be as fine as integration time step
- Wind speed variability added to WRF output; *can this quantity predict wind farm production uncertainty?*

Offshore

On the coast

Inland



- Forecasted wind speed variability is a feasible predictor for wind power uncertainty **near the ocean**
- Over land the explanatory effect severely diminishes

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study and publication of results are financed by CITIES and the Danish transmission system operator Energinet.dk, under Public Service Obligation project *PSO 10464*.

[†] Allan H. Murphy. *Skill Scores Based on the Mean Square Error and Their Relationships to the Correlation Coefficient*. Mon. Wea. Rev. **116**: 2417-2424 (1988)